

## VeraPhase Antenna for Survey Use

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The reliance on GNSS within the Surveying Industry is well known. There is continual improvement in available GNSS technology to increase the accuracy and reduce the complexity of use. This document introduces the VeraPhase antenna for use as a rover in surveying activity.

As will be seen, the VeraPhase technology introduces a new level of accuracy and a new level of simplicity to surveying. Using a VeraPhase antenna as a rover in an RTK or PPP surveying application effectively eliminates the worry about the orientation of the antenna (with respect to compass directions) and eliminates the need to use calibration data files. As will be seen, the reason for this is because of the high stability of the PCV over the L1/L2 and G1/G2 frequencies over all azimuths and from all elevation angles above 10 degrees (above horizon).

## 2. ANALYSIS

The data relied upon in this analysis is publicly available from NGS at this website: https://www.ngs.noaa.gov/ANTCAL/.

There are two methods of calculating calibration data: relative calibration; and absolute calibration. As the intent of this analysis is to understand the stability of Phase Centre Variation (PCV) in absolute terms, only absolute calibration data were used.

Antennas compared

| Tallysman VP6000 | NovAtel 750 |
| :--- | :--- |
| NovAtel 702GG | Trimble Zephyr 3 |
| Javad Choke Ring DM | Topcon PG-A1 |
| Leica 1230 | AOA DM_TA |
| NavXperience 3G+C |  |

1. Standard Deviation of the PCV

The quickest measure of the variability of the PCV is calculating the standard deviation of all the observations (all azimuth and all elevation angles). Table A shows the results of these calculations for each of the above antennas. The elevation selection of 5 to 85 degrees was used because the calibration methodology defines zenith ( 90 degrees) as being zero and the use of signals below five (5) degrees is fraught with numerous issues (and thus is impractical for use).

TABLE A - Standard Deviations of PCV across Elevations (5-85 degrees) and all Azimuths

|  | Tallysman <br> VP6000 | NovAtel <br> 750 | NovAtel <br> 702GG | Trimble <br> Zephyr <br> 3 | Javad <br> Choke <br> Ring <br> DM | TopCon <br> PG-A1 | Leica <br> 1230 | AOA <br> DM_TA | NavX <br> 3G+C | Best Antenna |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GPS L1 | $\mathbf{0 . 6 2 5 3}$ | 1.8867 | 0.6906 | 0.6277 | 4.8476 | 1.5845 | 0.6851 | 4.6453 | 0.7283 | Tallysman VP6000 |
| GPS L2 | 0.5307 | 2.6523 | $\mathbf{0 . 4 5 3 4}$ | 1.2089 | 3.2358 | 1.0463 | 0.6203 | 2.9078 | 1.1330 | NovAtel 702GG |
| GL G1 | $\mathbf{0 . 6 1 1 8}$ | 1.8483 | 0.7972 | 0.7931 | 4.7464 | 1.6901 | 0.8222 | 4.4827 | 0.8919 | Tallysman VP6000 |
| GL G2 | $\mathbf{0 . 4 4 5 4}$ | 2.5693 | 0.5290 | 1.1738 | 3.0447 | 1.0061 | 0.5505 | 2.8733 | 1.0347 | Tallysman VP6000 |

Table A shows that the Tallysman VeraPhase 6000 antenna provides the lowest overall PCV. It also shows that the $99 \%$ confidence level ( 3 x standard deviation) of the PCV is no worse than 1.9 mm for the VP6000 antenna. This supports the conclusion that a surveyor, using the VP6000, doesn't have to worry about the orientation of the antenna nor about using PCV correction data to get sub 2 mm accuracy regardless of which signal(s) is/are being used.
2. Useable Mask Angle

Another meaningful way to examine the utility of an antenna for use as a rover in an RTK system is to determine the lowest mask angle one can set in the receiver to achieve the desired level of PCV. For example, what is the lowest elevation mask setting one can use to achieve less than a Xmm PCV across all azimuth and all elevation angles? Tables B, C \&D provide answers to this question for $1 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, and 2.0 mm respectively.

TABLE B - LOWEST ELEVATION MASK TO ACHIEVE LESS THAN +/- 1MM PCV VARIATION OVER ALL AZIMUTH ANGLES

|  | Tallysman VP6000 | NovAtel <br> 750 | NovAtel 702GG | Trimble Zephyr | Javad <br> Choke <br> Ring DM | TopCon PG-A1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leica } \\ & 1230 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AOA } \\ \text { DM_TA } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NavX } \\ & 3 \mathrm{G}+\mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | Best Antenna |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GPS L1 | 55 | 80 | 50 | 55 | 80 | 75 | 60 | 80 | 35 | NavX 3G+C |
| GPS L2 | 50 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 80 | 70 | 55 | 80 | 75 | Tallysman VP6000 |
| GL G1 | 50 | 80 | 60 | 60 | 85 | 80 | 65 | 85 | 55 | Tallysman VP6000 |
| GL G2 | 45 | 80 | 60 | 65 | 80 | 70 | 50 | 85 | 80 | Tallysman VP6000 |
| WORST CASE | 55 | 80 | 70 | 65 | 85 | 80 | 65 | 85 | 80 | Tallysman VP6000 |

## Tallysman

TABLE C - LOWEST ELEVATION MASK TO ACHIEVE LESS THAN +/- 1.5MM PCV VARIATION OVER ALL AZIMUTH ANGLES

|  | Tallysman VP6000 | NovAtel <br> 750 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NovAtel } \\ & \text { 702GG } \end{aligned}$ | Trimble Zephyr 3 | Javad <br> Choke Ring DM | $\begin{gathered} \text { TopCon } \\ \text { PG-A1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leica } \\ & 1230 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AOA } \\ \text { DM_TA } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NavX } \\ & 3 \mathrm{G}+\mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | Best Antenna |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GPS L1 | 0 | 80 | 30 | 40 | 80 | 75 | 55 | 80 | 30 | Tallysman VP6000 |
| GPS L2 | 0 | 75 | 5 | 45 | 75 | 65 | 50 | 75 | 70 | Tallysman VP6000 |
| GL G1 | 10 | 75 | 45 | 40 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 80 | 30 | Tallysman VP6000 |
| GLG2 | 0 | 75 | 20 | 40 | 75 | 65 | 25 | 80 | 75 | Tallysman VP6000 |
| WORST CASE | 10 | 80 | 45 | 45 | 80 | 75 | 60 | 80 | 75 | Tallysman VP6000 |

TABLE D - LOWEST ELEVATION MASK TO ACHIEVE LESS THAN +/- 2MM PCV VARIATION OVER ALL AZIMUTH ANGLES

|  | Tallysman VP6000 | NovAtel $750$ | NovAtel 702GG | Trimble Zephyr 3 | Javad <br> Choke <br> Ring DM | TopCon PG-A1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leica } \\ & 1230 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AOA } \\ \text { DM_TA } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NavX } \\ & 3 \mathrm{G}+\mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | Best Antenna |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GPS L1 | 0 | 75 | 15 | 10 | 80 | 65 | 10 | 80 | 15 | Tallysman VP6000 |
| GPS L2 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 40 | 70 | 60 | 0 | 70 | 65 | Tallysman VP6000 |
| GL G1 | 10 | 75 | 10 | 35 | 80 | 70 | 55 | 80 | 25 | Tallysman VP6000 |
| GL G2 | 0 | 75 | 10 | 40 | 75 | 60 | 0 | 75 | 65 | Tallysman VP6000 |
| WORST CASE | 10 | 75 | 15 | 40 | 80 | 70 | 55 | 80 | 65 | Tallysman VP6000 |

## 3. CONCLUSION

The above analysis has shown that if a person were to set the elevation angle mask of the RTK receiver to 10 degrees above horizon, the results achieved from the VeraPhase 6000 antenna would be plus/minus 2 mm regardless of the azimuth or the elevation angle of the received satellite signals (L1/L2/G1/G2). Furthermore, overall the VeraPhase 6000 antenna outperforms choke ring antennas and other high end survey grade antennas when using un-corrected PCV information.

The receiving element of the VeraPhase 6000 antenna is used in all VeraPhase antennas, so the same results will be achieved regardless which model of VeraPhase antenna is used.
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